UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,406	11/25/2003	Milton Stoller	1166/66306	2670
Paul Teng, Esq	7590 10/18/2007		EXAM	INER
Cooper & Dunham LLP			WEATHERBY, ELLSWORTH	
1185 Avenue o New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			_
i	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,406	STOLLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellsworth Weatherby	3768	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
• •	EDLVIC SET TO EVDIDE 2 MC	MITH(S) OD THIDTY (20) DAVS	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rel n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on (02 August 2007.		
·—	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	••		
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	•	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·	
8) Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
	minor		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: 'a) □		v the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	<u> </u>		(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in Ap	plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been i	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	mmary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		/Mail Dateormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/723,406

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - (Claims 1-28, 32-36) Lesion localization using trigonometric relationships between stereo views.
 - II. (Claims 29-31, 37-40) Working with information that defines initial positions of two lines such that the distance between the two lines is reduced to make more accurate the localization of the lesion.

The species are independent or distinct because Inventions I and II are directed to related products or processes, which are mutually exclusive. Furthermore, as disclosed by Applicant, because of the additional features there would not be any product or process that would infringe on both of the identified inventions.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,406

Art Unit: 3768

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence

Application/Control Number: 10/723,406

Art Unit: 3768

or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellsworth Weatherby whose telephone number is (571) 272-2248. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3768

EW

EUTOMOLICAY CENTER SA.